

Mission: Deliver clean, affordable, reliable energy and excellent customer service.

Electric Utility Commission – Revenue Requirement September 19, 2011

Revenue Requirement

- Revenue Requirement is made up of:
 - + O&M Expenses
 - + Depreciation Expense
 - + Return
 - Other Income
 - = Revenue Requirement

Options for Return Methodology

DSC (Debt Service Coverage)

- Debt Service (Principal & Interest – long & short)
- Excess Coverage Requirement

(% of Debt Service above)

Cash Flow

- Debt Service (Principal and Interest long & short)
- Funding of Reserve Requirements
- Annual GFT (General Fund Transfer)
- Annual payments to provide Internally Generated Funds (IGF) for construction

Cash Flow Return Methodology

- Developed by Public Utility Commission of Texas (PUCT) in 1999 for municipal electric utilities to comply with State law requiring balanced budgets
- Methodology is consistent with:
 - Transmission Cost of Service (TCOS) filings approved by PUCT
 - Fundamental municipal credit rating criteria
 - ERCOT Nodal credit quality criteria
 - Austin Energy Financial Policies

Revenue Requirement

Cash Flow Methodology	Test	Basis for		
Revenue Requirement Components	Year	Recovery		
Total Operations & Maintenance Expenses	\$ 820,034,711	Continue to provide core services		
Depreciation & Amortization of CIAC	117,214,512	No impact-reversed in Return		
Return:				
Debt Service	168,070,290	Bond Covenant and Financial Policy Compliance		
General Fund Transfer	103,000,000	Financial Policy Requirement		
Depreciation & Amortization of CIAC	(117,214,512)	No impact		
Capital From Current Revenue	111,091,011	Funding requirements within Financial Policy guidelines		
Required Contributions to Decommissioning Reserve	6,716,995	Financial Policy Requirement-Fund depleted		
Required Contributions to Reserve	15,960,533	Financial Policy Requirement-Funds depleted		
Other net (Non-Rate) Revenue	(88,852,737)	Transmission Revenue, Interest Income, Other Revenue		
Total Revenue Requirement	\$ 1,136,020,803			

Financial Policies approved by City Council.



Historical Trends Show Need for Rate Review

Historical Trends (\$millions)					
	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Net Income (loss)	\$91.0	\$108.9	\$1.9	(\$27.3)	
Budget Excess (deficiency)	\$45.3	\$63.5	(\$75.9)	(\$86.1)	
Debt Service Coverage (DSC)	2.22	2.25	1.66	1.52	



Forecasting Tools to Measure Affordability

